

**VILLAGE OF HUNTLEY
VILLAGE BOARD MEETING
January 13, 2011
MINUTES**

CALL TO ORDER:

A meeting of the Village Board of the Village of Huntley was called to order on Thursday, January 13, 2011 at 7:04 p.m. in the Municipal Complex, Village Board Room, 10987 Main St., Huntley, Illinois 60142.

ATTENDANCE:

PRESENT: Mayor Charles Sass; Trustees: Pam Fender, Jay Kadakia, Niko Kanakaris, Harry Leopold, Paul Mercer and John Piwko.

ABSENT: None

IN ATTENDANCE: Village Manager David Johnson, Senior Assistant to the Village Manager Lisa Armour, Management Assistant Barbara Read, Director of Finance and Human Resources Jennifer Chernak, Accounting Supervisor Julie Baumgartner and Village Attorney Mike Coppedge.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Mayor Sass led the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC HEARING:

- a) Public Hearing for Acceptance of Credit Cards for Payments made to the Village of Huntley

A MOTION was made at 7:05 p.m. to open the Public Hearing for Acceptance of Credit Cards for Payments made to the Village of Huntley.

MOTION: Trustee Leopold

SECOND: Trustee Fender

The Voice Vote noted all ayes and the motion carried.

Mayor Sass reported that pursuant to state law, the required public notice for the credit card acceptance public hearing was published in the *Northwest Herald*, informing the general public of the hearing. The purpose of the public hearing is to make a determination that the acceptance of credit card payments is in the best interests of the citizens and governmental administration of the Village of Huntley.

Mayor Sass asked if anyone in the audience would like to make a comment regarding the acceptance of credit cards for payments made to the Village; there were none.

A MOTION was made at 7:07 p.m. to close the Public Hearing.

MOTION: Trustee Leopold

SECOND: Trustee Kanakaris

The Voice Vote noted all ayes and the motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENTS: None

ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION:

- a) Approval of the December 2, 2010, December 9, 2010 and December 16, 2010 Village Board Meeting Minutes

Mayor Sass asked if the Village Board had any comments or changes to the December 2, 2010, December 9, 2010 or December 16, 2010 Village Board Meeting Minutes; there were none.

A MOTION was made to approve the December 2, 2010, December 9, 2010 and December 16, 2010 Village Board Meeting Minutes, as presented.

MOTION: Trustee Leopold
SECOND: Trustee Kanakaris
AYES: Trustees Fender, Kadakia, Kanakaris, Leopold, Mercer and Piwko
NAYS: None
ABSENT: None
The motion carried: 6-0-0

- b) Approval of the January 13, 2011 Bill List in the amount of \$78,145.99

Mayor Sass asked if the Village Board had any comments or questions regarding the January 13, 2011 Bill List; there were none.

A MOTION was made to approve the January 13, 2011 Bill List in the amount of \$78,145.99.

MOTION: Trustee Kadakia
SECOND: Trustee Fender
AYES: Trustees Fender, Kadakia, Kanakaris, Leopold, Mercer and Piwko
NAYS: None
ABSENT: None
The motion carried: 6-0-0

- c) Approval of Ordinance (O)2011-01.01 – Approval of a Simplified Residential Zoning Variation for a building addition encroaching into the Rear Yard Setback – William and Ceil Myers, 11903 Ludbury Ridge

Village Manager David Johnson reviewed a Power Point Presentation and reported that the petitioners are requesting relief from Village of Huntley Zoning Ordinance No. 97-07-24-01, (Annexation Agreement for Del Webb’s Sun City) which stipulates Site Standards for *Premier and Estate Residential Lots* which includes a 20-foot rear yard setback requirement.

The petitioners are requesting 7.0 feet in relief from the 20-foot rear yard setback requirement within the “SF-2-PDD” –Garden Residential – Planned Development District zoned property. The request would accommodate a 25’-4” x 14’ three-season room addition on the rear (west side) of the residence.

The petitioners’ sensitivity to stinging insects was cited as a reason for wanting the additional under- roof living space. The subject lot backing up to open space and the orientation of the lot on the eyebrow portion of the street were both pointed out as mitigating factors limiting the impact of the addition on the adjacent properties.

The Sun City Community Association Modifications Committee denied the petitioners’ project request on October 29, 2010. On November 17, 2010, the Sun City Community Association’s Board of Directors approved the petitioners’ appeal of the Modifications Committee decision.

Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation

The Zoning Board of Appeals conducted a public hearing on December 15, 2010 to review the petition. Having fully heard and considered testimony, the ZBA recommended approval of the petitioners' request by a vote of 4 to 0 subject to the following condition:

1. No building permits or Certificates of Occupancy are approved as part of the Simplified Residential Zoning Variation.

Village Manager Johnson reported that the petitioners William and Ceil Myers were in attendance to answer questions.

Mayor Sass asked if the Village Board had comments or questions.

Trustee Mercer asked why in many cases the Sun City Modifications Committee denies most of the requests and the Sun City Board of Directors approve the appeal. Trustee Leopold reported that the Modifications Committee does not have the authority to approve variations but the Board of Directors has the authority.

There were no other comments or questions.

A MOTION was made to approve Ordinance (O)2011-01.01 – Approval of a Simplified Residential Zoning Variation for a building addition encroaching into the Rear Yard Setback – William and Ceil Myers, 11903 Ludbury Ridge.

MOTION: Trustee Leopold

SECOND: Trustee Kadakia

AYES: Trustees Fender, Kadakia, Kanakaris, Leopold, Mercer and Piwko

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

The motion carried: 6-0-0

- d) Approval of Resolution (R)2011-01.01 – Proposal for Professional Design Engineering and Construction Observation Services for the 2011 MFT Street Program - Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd

Village Manager David Johnson reviewed a Power Point Presentation and reported that a Proposal for Professional Design Engineering and Construction Observation Services for the 2011 Motor Fuel Tax (MFT) Street Program has been received from Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. (CBBEL) to do the project engineering including surveying, soils investigation, plans and specifications, estimate, and construction inspection. The streets include the west half of Southwind Subdivision, approximately 4.3 miles of street. The east half was completed in last year's program.

Village Manager Johnson noted that the final street selection will be based on the contractors' bids for the project; however, the streets scheduled for improvement in 2011 are listed below:

<u>Street Name</u>	<u>Limits</u>	<u>Length (ft)</u>	<u>Base Bid</u>
Ashley Street	Cambridge Dr. to Riverside Dr.	2058	Grind & Overlay
Bennington Dr	Cambridge Dr. to Wellington Dr.	1014	Grind & Overlay
Bennington Dr	Wellington Dr. to Somerset Ln.	1861	Full Depth
Brighton Lane	Wellington Dr. to Riverside Dr.	890	Grind & Overlay
Compton Drive	Cambridge Dr. to Somerset Ln.	2300	Grind & Overlay
Dunhill Drive	Cambridge Dr. to Somerset Ln.	1790	Grind & Overlay
Hanley Lane	Somerset Dr. to the Village limits	140	Grind & Overlay
Longfield Drive	Bennington Dr. to Somerset Ln.	1985	Grind & Overlay
Oxford Drive	Bennington Dr. to Somerset Ln.	1366	Grind & Overlay
Riverside Drive	Scott Dr. to Ashley St	1273	Grind & Overlay
Scott Drive	Haligus Rd. to Bennington Dr.	1636	Full Depth
Somerset Lane	Longfield Dr. to Dunhill Dr.	1961	Grind & Overlay
York Road	Bennington Dr. to Dunhill Dr	607	Grind & Overlay
Wellington Drive	Reed Rd. to Bennington Dr	747	Full Depth
		Total:	19628
<u>Option #1</u>			
Haverhill Lane	Thornton Way to Thornton Way	754	Grind & Overlay
Thornton Way	Scott St. to Reed Rd.	1575	Grind & Overlay
Wakefield Lane	Thornton Way to Thornton Way	837	Grind & Overlay
		Total Option #1:	3166

CBBEL's not-to-exceed figure for the project engineering as described above is \$138,800.00, which is consistent with the engineering costs anticipated by Staff in formulating the budget.

Staff Analysis

To ensure a timely completion of the project in 2011 Staff recommends approval of the "Proposal for Professional Design Engineering and Construction Observation Services for the 2011 MFT Street Program". The current schedule is for construction to begin in mid-June, with completion by mid-August.

Financial Impact

The FY2011 Budget includes funding for the 2011 program in the amount of \$1,380,847. The funding sources are through the Motor Fuel Tax Fund (\$760,847), the Capital Projects Fund (\$310,000), and the Street Improvement Fund (\$310,000).

Mayor Sass asked if the Village Board had any comments or questions; there were none.

A MOTION was made to approve Resolution (R)2011-01.01 – Proposal for Professional Design Engineering and Construction Observation Services for the 2011 MFT Street Program - Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd.

- MOTION:** Trustee Kadakia
- SECOND:** Trustee Fender
- AYES:** Trustees Fender, Kadakia, Kanakaris, Leopold, Mercer and Piwko
- NAYS:** None
- ABSENT:** None
- The motion carried: 6-0-0**

- e) Approval of Resolution (R)2011-01.02 – Authorization to Enter into a Cost-Sharing Agreement with the Union Pacific Railroad Company (UPRR) for the Replacement of

Grade Crossing Surface at Kreutzer Road

Village Manager David Johnson reviewed a Power Point Presentation and reported that as part of the FY2011 budget process, the Village contacted the UPRR to obtain a cost estimate for replacement of the grade crossing surface at Kreutzer Road. UPRR will replace the crossing in cooperation with the Village, subject to approval of an agreement that shares the cost on a 50/50 basis between the Village and UPRR.

Staff Analysis

The estimated total cost of the project is \$61,002.00. The work includes removing the existing surface and installing a 32-foot precast concrete crossing surface. The Village's estimated share is \$30,501.00. The work will require complete closure of the roadway at the railroad tracks for approximately one to two weeks. The project does not include any work on the roadway itself. Pictures of the existing Kreutzer Road crossing and the new crossing on Grove Street are attached to show what the new crossing will look like.

Financial Impact

Replacement of the crossing was included in the FY2011 budget, with \$17,500 allocated in the Capital Projects Fund (02-10-4-6925) and \$17,500 in the Street Improvement Fund (04-10-4-7500).

Legal Analysis

The Village Attorney has reviewed the agreement and all is in order for approval.

Mayor Sass reported that the Village has requested that the UPRR complete this project prior to the work on Route 47 commencing in the Spring as they will need to close Kreutzer Road during the grade crossing surface replacement.

Mayor Sass asked if the Village Board had any comment comments or questions.

Trustee Fender asked if this will need to be re-done when they widen Kreutzer Road in the future. Village Manager Johnson stated that it will need to be done in the future as the road will be re-configured as well as widened.

There were no other comments or questions.

A MOTION as made to approve Resolution (R)2011-01.02 - Authorization to Enter into a Cost-Sharing Agreement with the Union Pacific Railroad Company (UPRR) for the Replacement of Grade Crossing Surface at Kreutzer Road.

MOTION: Trustee Leopold

SECOND: Trustee Kanakaris

AYES: Trustees Fender, Kadakia, Kanakaris, Leopold, Mercer and Piwko

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

The motion carried: 6-0-0

- f) Approval of the following Ordinances for the Acceptance of Credit Cards:
 - i. Ordinance (O)2011-01.02 – An Ordinance authorizing acceptance of credit and debit cards for Payments to the Village of Huntley

- ii. Ordinance (O)2011-01.03 – An Ordinance Authorizing an agreement between the Village of Huntley and the Illinois State Treasurer, Global Payments Direct, Inc., and HSBC Bank USA

Director of Finance and Human Resources Jennifer Chernak reported that at the request of residents and contractors the Village has researched the acceptance of credit and debit cards for payments made to the Village. Director of Finance Chernak reported that Accounting Supervisor Julie Baumgartner has been responsible for getting this program underway and will complete the presentation.

Accounting Supervisor Baumgartner reviewed a Power Point Presentation and reported that this new program will enhance the services of all Village departments through the Illinois Funds E-Pay program. Illinois E-Pay also includes check imaging permitting the Village to capture paper checks through the practice of remote deposit. Remote deposit will streamline daily operations reducing travel to and from the bank.

Accounting Supervisor Baumgartner summarized the acceptance of credit/debit cards:

Illinois Funds E-Pay Program/State Treasurer

E-pay is a safe and secure electronic payments program facilitating the collection of utility bills, parking tickets, and building permit revenues at the front counter, internet, and touch-tone telephone/IVR (Interactive Voice Response) in the form of credit and debit card transactions.

- Illinois E-Pay is not contractually based.
- Electronically accepted forms of payment are; MasterCard, Discover, American Express, and Debit Cards and include a sliding scale convenience fee passed on to the customer based on the amount of the transaction.
- Compliant with Local Government Acceptance of Credit Cards Act and prevailing credit card rules.

Online Solutions

- Offered at no cost to the Village of Huntley.
- Residents and others log onto a customized E-Pay web page and make payments via credit or debit card. Customers using this method of payment shall be assessed a fee based on the amount of the transaction.

Point-of-Sale Solutions

- Over the counter terminals will be installed in Finance, Development Services, and the Police Department providing the ability to accept credit and debit cards with a convenience fee of 2.1% per transaction. This fee is charged automatically by the credit card company. The Village will not receive any revenue or fees by implementing this program.

Financial Impact

The Finance Department analyzed two options for accepting credit/debit cards, and the results follow:

1) Harris Computer/MSI-Cash Register Credit Card Acceptance Module	
MSI-Cash Register Credit Card Acceptance Module	\$1,750.00
Mini wedge credit card swipe, 3 units	\$ 375.00
Training/Set up Assistance, 3 hours	\$ 450.00
Installation at 3 workstations	\$ 300.00

Annual software maintenance	\$ 350.00
Total Cost	\$3,225.00

Important components of the decision making process:

- The total cost to implement the MSI-Cash Register Credit Card Acceptance module is \$3,225.00.
- The MSI-Cash Register Credit Card Acceptance Module is not PCI (Payment Card Industry) data security compliant at this time.

2) Illinois Funds E-Pay Program/State Treasurer (Recommended Option)

Integrated VT3 Software Point of Sale and site	
License with 1 keyboard wedge	\$ 299.00
2 Additional Keyboard Wedges @ \$75.00/each	\$ 150.00
Mini check imager	\$ 425.00
Training/Set up Assistance – No charge	\$ 0.00
Total Cost	\$ 874.00

Important components of the decision making process:

- The total cost to implement the Illinois E-Pay program is \$874.00.
- Illinois E-pay offers complete training and free technical support 24 hours a day.
- Vendors meet PCI (Payment Card Industry) data security compliance standards.

Area communities utilizing the Illinois E-Pay program are as follows: Barrington, Carpentersville, Crystal Lake, East Dundee, Fox River Grove, Lake in the Hills, and Wauconda.

Legal Analysis

Authority for local government units to accept payment of funds by credit and debit cards is provided by the Illinois Local Government Acceptance of Credit Cards Act (50 ILCS 345/1 *et seq*). A Public Hearing notice was published in the Northwest Herald on December 25, 2010 and a Public Hearing was conducted at the beginning of this meeting.

Mayor Sass asked if the Village Board had comments or questions.

Trustee Mercer asked why Visa is not accepted as a form of payment. Accounting Supervisor Baumgartner reported that Visa does not participate in this program as they do not pass on fees to their customers.

Trustee Piwko asked if the convenience fee is passed on to both credit and debit card users; Accounting Supervisor Baumgartner said that the fee is passed onto both credit and debit card users.

There were no other comments or questions.

A MOTION was made to approve Ordinance (O)2011-01.02 – An Ordinance authorizing acceptance of credit and debit cards for Payments to the Village of Huntley

- MOTION: Trustee Kanakaris**
SECOND: Trustee Fender
AYES: Trustees Fender, Kadakia, Kanakaris, Leopold, Mercer and Piwko
NAYS: None
ABSENT: None
The motion carried: 6-0-0

A MOTION was made to approve Ordinance (O)2011-01.03 – An Ordinance Authorizing an agreement between the Village of Huntley and the Illinois State Treasurer, Global Payments Direct, Inc., and HSBC Bank USA

MOTION: Trustee Fender

SECOND: Trustee Leopold

AYES: Trustees Fender, Kadakia, Kanakaris, Leopold, Mercer and Piwko

NAYS: None

ABSENT: None

The motion carried: 6-0-0

- g) Approval of Resolution (R)2011-01.03 – Authorization to Withdraw from the Northern Illinois Municipal Gas Franchise Consortium

Village Manager David Johnson reported that in November 2009 the Village Board approved Ordinance (O)2009-11.52 – Approving and Authorizing the Execution of an Intergovernmental Agreement Establishing the Northern Illinois Municipal Gas Franchise Consortium. The consortium was organized by the DuPage Mayors and Managers Conference for the creation of a model franchise agreement for natural gas utilities.

Staff Analysis

A Steering Committee was formed with members from each of the nine Councils of Government in the region including McHenry County Council of Governments (McCOG). The Committee separated the project into three (3) phases. The first phase was an Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) to be executed by all municipalities wishing to join the Consortium. Approximately 64 communities have participated in the Consortium. The second phase was to draft a model natural gas franchise agreement (Agreement). The third and final phase was to be negotiations between the natural gas utilities and the Consortium. The Consortium has now filed documents intervening in the Energy Efficiency Plan filed by Nicor with the ICC and has suggested taking other actions that seem to be extending the scope of activity beyond the original purpose of the Consortium. As a result, staff has determined that continued participation in the Consortium is no longer beneficial and recommends that the Village finalize a franchise agreement with Nicor on its own. It is understood that a Most Favored Nation clause would be included in a new franchise agreement, meaning that if Nicor agreed to a benefit for the communities in the Consortium, they would also make this benefit available to communities with which they had individually negotiated franchise agreements.

Financial Impact

As requested, the Village provided a \$500 contribution to the consortium to off-set the legal fees associated with creating an Intergovernmental Agreement and to begin drafting a model franchise agreement. Another assessment of \$986.87 was paid in 2010. An additional assessment of \$2,029.30 is pending.

Legal Analysis

Per the IGA, members choosing to withdraw from the Consortium may do so by delivering to the Consortium Administrator no later than 21 days before the intended effective date of withdrawal, a certified resolution or ordinance declaring the member's withdrawal as of the date identified in the resolution or ordinance.

Mayor Sass asked if the Village Board had questions or comments regarding the withdrawal from the consortium; there were none.

A MOTION was made to approve Resolution (R)2011-01.03 - Authorizing Withdrawal from the Northern Illinois Municipal Gas Franchise Consortium.

MOTION: Trustee Leopold
SECOND: Trustee Piwko
AYES: Trustees Fender, Kadakia, Kanakaris, Leopold, Mercer and Piwko
NAYS: None
ABSENT: None
The motion carried: 6-0-0

h) Discussion – Village of Huntley 2011 Legislative Agenda

Village Manager David Johnson reviewed a Power Point Presentation and reported that one of the goals of the FY2011 Budget for the Manager’s Office is the preparation of a legislative agenda for review and discussion with the Village Board.

Staff Analysis

As the Village moves into 2011, many of the same issues that were pertinent last year remain a concern. In particular, the delay in payments to local governments and the possibility of a reduction in revenue-sharing formulas continue to threaten the financial stability of municipalities. Many of the proposed legislative priorities are aimed at preserving existing revenues. The adopted legislative agenda will be shared with area legislators to communicate the Village of Huntley’s legislative priorities.

Financial Impact

The majority of the proposed priorities are designed to protect existing revenues, enhance some revenues, and protect from mandates that would create additional expenses.

**VILLAGE OF HUNTLEY
2011 LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES**

1. Maintain current 1/10th Municipal Share of Local Government Distributive Fund (LGDF) and support legislation requiring LGDF monies to be deposited directly to the LGDF fund or within a set timeframe to ensure timely distribution to municipalities
2. Maintain current 1/10th Municipal Share of Local Government Distributive Fund for any new monies collected as a result of a possible increase in the state income tax
3. Protect the current structure and amounts of dedicated municipal revenue funds (i.e. sales tax, MFT) and oppose any attempts by the State to balance its budget at the expense of local government

INCOME TAX INCREASE AND DISTRIBUTIONS

A. New Tax Rates:

The current income tax rates are 3% for individuals and 4.8% for corporations. The legislation includes a number of increased tax rates:

- In 2011 - 2014, the individual tax rate increases from 3% to 5%; and the corporate rate increases from 4.8% to 7%;
- In 2015 - 2024, the individual rate is 3.75%, and the corporate rate is 5.25; and
- In 2025 and thereafter, the individual rate is 3.25%, and the corporate rate is 4.8%

B. LGDF Distributions:

The legislation does not provide municipalities with any share of the increased taxes. The legislation does, however, seek to maintain the shared revenues at their current levels. The distributions to LGDF are as follows:

- From February, 2011 through January, 2015, the distribution is 6% of the net revenue received from the 5% individual rate and 6.86% of the net revenue received from the 7% corporate rate;
- From February, 2015 through January, 2025, the distribution is 8% of the net revenue received from the 3.75% individual rate and 9.14% of the net revenue received from the 5.25% corporate rate; and
- From February 2025 and thereafter, the distribution is 9.23% of the net revenue received from the 3.25% individual rate and 10% of the net revenue received from the 4.8% corporate rate.
- A potential problem is that this distribution scheme fails to account for a situation where the income tax rates could be reduced by State spending limits.
- The legislation attempts to maintain the status quo for LGDF distributions.
- It gives municipalities a lower distribution percentage of a higher tax rate in an effort to maintain the same level of funding.
- The legislation also provides for a mechanism for the tax rates to revert to current levels if the State overspends, but it does not provide for a mechanism to restore the LGDF distributions to their current levels.
- Therefore, if the tax rates fall due to the State's overspending, then municipalities will have a lower distribution percentage of a lower tax rate.
- For example, if the individual tax rate reverts to 3%, then instead of receiving 10% of the 3% rate (under the status quo), municipalities would receive only 6% of the 3% rate. In that case, municipalities will lose out on LGDF money.

STATE SPENDING LIMITS

A. State Spending Limits

The legislation sets limits on the level of State funding. The spending limits for the State are:

- For FY 2012, \$36,818,000,000;
- For FY 2013, \$37,554,000,000;
- For FY 2014, \$38,305,000,000;
- For FY 2015, \$39,072,000,000; and
- For FY 2016 and thereafter, there is no spending limit.

The Auditor General is required to make various spending reports and, if the State spending exceeds the limits, then the General Assembly or Governor may act to reduce State spending to the authorized spending level. If the General Assembly or Governor does not Act, then the income tax rates revert back to their present levels of 3% for individuals and 4.8% for corporations.

Potentially troubling for municipalities is the fact that the definition of "State spending" appears broad enough that it may include shared revenue to municipalities. The legislation caps State spending at specified dollar amounts. The definition of "State spending" could be broad enough to include the payments for State shared revenues through LGDF, sales tax collections, or MFT. If that is the case, if the State spends too much on other items, it may be prohibited from making the tax sharing distributions to municipalities.

Additionally, the legislation allows the Governor to declare a contingency reserve of moneys that would exceed the State spending limit for that year. If the definition of State spending includes State shared revenues, then, the Governor appears to be authorized to reduce or delay the amount of shared revenues to local government.

B. State Mandate Funding:

The legislation provides that, through FY2015, with respect to any statutory mandate that is not designated as being subject to appropriation, the Governor may reduce the amount of funds appropriated to "some or all of those statutory mandates" in an amount that he or she deems to be necessary to accommodate budgetary limitations while attempting to implement the mandates. The provision does not include a definition of "mandate".

A potential problem for municipalities is that this provision allows the Governor to reduce the amount of money appropriated by "statutory mandates". The legislation is silent as to what constitutes a "statutory mandate". If that term could be defined broadly enough to include State shared revenues, then it would appear that the Governor would have the power to unilaterally reduce the amount of money paid to local government.

NEW STATE INCOME TAX DEDICATED FUNDS

While the General Assembly was unable to find any additional money for municipalities from the increased taxes, it did create three additional funds that receive money from the new revenue. The legislation creates the Fund for the Advancement of Education and the Commitment to Human Services Fund as special funds in the State Treasury.

A. Education:

The Advancement of Education Fund is a special fund in the State Treasury, and any deposits in the Fund must be appropriated to provide financial assistance for educational programs. There are no directions or procedures set forth as to how or when this money is spent.

The fund receives its money from deposits of income tax collections. Beginning in 2015, the Fund receives 1/30 (3.333%) of the income tax proceeds. In 2025 and thereafter, the fund receives 1/26 (3.846%) of the income tax proceeds.

B. Human Services:

The Commitment to Human Services Fund is a special fund in the State Treasury. The moneys in the Fund must be appropriated to provide financial assistance for community-based human service providers and for State funded human service programs. Again, there are no directions or procedures set forth as to how or when this money is spent.

The fund receives its money from deposits of income tax collections. Beginning in 2015, the Fund receives 1/30 (3.333%) of the income tax proceeds. In 2025 and thereafter, the fund receives 1/26 (3.846%) of the income tax proceeds.

4. Oppose any unfunded or underfunded mandates on local government
5. Support legislation which provides true public safety pension reform to ensure long-term viability of public pension funds while minimizing financial impact on local government and taxpayers
6. Support legislation that enables municipalities, rather than developers, to determine whether a developer must post a bond, letter of credit, or cash bond for public improvements
7. Support legislation that exempts fixed works projects under \$50,000 from Prevailing Wage Act Requirements which would help minimize costs of smaller projects
8. Support legislation that provides access to sales-tax information from the Department of Revenue to all municipalities

9. Support legislation that makes legal notices easier to read by allowing publication of the proposed action(s), common address, and property index number to satisfy public notice requirements; properties would be easier to identify and notices would be less costly to publish, as they would be shorter in length
10. Support legislation that affirms and expands employer exemptions from mandatory bargaining over staffing levels, thereby preserving the local government's ability to make decisions as needed regarding staffing levels

Mayor Sass asked if the Village Board had comments or questions.

Trustee Leopold asked if home rule communities have authorization to get detailed sales tax information; Village Manager Johnson said yes. Trustee Leopold said that was another good reason to become a home rule community.

There were no other comments or questions.

It was the consensus of the Village Board to accept the Village of Huntley 2011 Legislative Agenda.

VILLAGE ATTORNEY'S REPORT: None

VILLAGE MANAGER'S REPORT:

Trustee Piwko thanked Staff for the installation of the street lights at Huntley-Dundee Road at Main Street.

Trustee Piwko asked if the signs at the Interchange of I-90 and Route 47 could include Huntley and not only Woodstock; Village Manager Johnson stated that Staff will follow up with the Tollway.

VILLAGE PRESIDENT'S REPORT:

Mayor Sass asked the Village Board to let Staff know if they will be attending the upcoming McCOG dinner meeting.

Mayor Sass reminded the Village Board that that Chamber's Annual Dinner is on January 15th.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS: None

NEW BUSINESS: None

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

A MOTION was made at 7:43 p.m. to enter into Executive Session for contractual; Property acquisition, purchase, sale or lease of Real Estate; and, Appointment, employment, dismissal, compensation, discipline and performance of an employee of the Village of Huntley.

MOTION: Trustee Leopold

SECOND: Trustee Piwko

The Voice Vote noted all ayes and the motion carried.

A MOTION was made at 8:25 p.m. to exit from Executive Session.

MOTION: Trustee Leopold

SECOND: Trustee Kanakaris
The Voice Vote noted all ayes and the motion carried.

ACTION ON CLOSED SESSION ITEM: None

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further items to discuss, a MOTION was made to adjourn the meeting at 8:26 p.m.

MOTION: Trustee Fender

SECOND: Trustee Piwko

The Voice Vote noted all ayes and the motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Read
Recording Secretary